

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,883	MORRE ET AL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	XIAOZHEN XIE	1646

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) XIAOZHEN XIE, (3) _____

(2) Frank C. Eisenschenk, (4) _____

Date of interview: 11 June 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed re-order of the additional species and the process claims. Proposed claim amendment for clarifying the claimed inventions, and correcting some informalities. The amended claims are further confirmed and authorized in an email communication by the Attorney (see attached proposed claim amendment). Also, the Attorney has authorized the cancellation of claims 86-93, 105-106, 108-110 and 115-118.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Xiaozhen Xie/